



# Fed Cattle Pricing: Live and Dressed Weight

Clement E. Ward  
Oklahoma State University

Ted C. Schroeder  
Kansas State University

Dillon M. Feuz  
University of Nebraska

Live weight pricing has been a common method of pricing fed cattle. A second method, dressed weight or carcass weight pricing, has increased in usage for higher quality cattle. This latter method is frequently referred to as pricing "in the beef." The objectives of this fact sheet are to discuss: (1) the general pricing process packers follow in determining bid prices for fed cattle; and (2) the steps followed in both live weight and dressed weight pricing. Both methods precede what is referred to now as "grid pricing." Grid pricing is discussed in separate fact sheets.

## General Pricing Process

In a general but basic sense, packers estimate their beef and byproduct sales, subtract slaughtering-fabricating costs and a profit target, and what remains is how much they can pay for fed cattle. All packers begin with a basic economic concept, that profit is total revenue minus total costs.

Profitability can be viewed on a per head basis. Total revenue per head is the sum of beef and byproduct sales. Total costs per head are all costs related to purchasing fed cattle and slaughtering-fabricating, including byproducts processing. Packers begin with a simple, basic profit equation and develop a bid price from that.

Research shows that fed cattle bids change with changing boxed beef prices (Ward, Koontz, and Schroeder). In addition, byproducts are an important revenue source for packers and clearly affect packer bids. Research has also shown that as slaughtering-fabricating plant size increases, average slaughtering-fabricating costs per head decline (MacDonald et al.), leading to different cost schedules and different bids from packers. One packer's bid may not differ from another packer's bid, depending on market conditions. For example, large numbers of cattle may be traded at nearly the same price during so-called "30-minute" periods for many weeks. However, when there is a relatively short supply of fed cattle, packers generally decrease their profit target and increase their bid price. Conversely, when there is a relatively large supply of fed cattle, packers generally increase their profit target and lower their bid price. While packers may identify a profit target, it is not always met. At times, packers

Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Fact Sheets  
are also available on our website at:  
<http://www.osuextra.com>

experience losses but keep their plants open to continue filling customer orders and retain their labor force.

## Importance of Costs and Volume Processed

Packers have control over several factors that affect their gross margins and profits. Two of these are the quantity of fed cattle purchased and costs of slaughtering-fabricating. Packers decide daily how many cattle to slaughter and how many cattle to purchase. That decision, in turn, directly affects a packer's utilization of slaughtering-fabricating facilities because the volume impacts per head plant costs.

For example, there is an inverse relationship between slaughtering-fabricating costs and profit. When slaughtering-fabricating costs increase, profit decreases. When slaughtering-fabricating costs decrease, profit increases. Two plants could have the same gross margin (for example, \$100 per head) but different per unit processing costs. The plant with the lowest slaughtering-fabricating cost (\$85 per head) will have the highest profit (\$15 per head), while the plant with the highest cost (\$95 per head) will have the lowest profit (\$5 per head). Therefore, slaughtering-fabricating costs are particularly important to meatpacking profitability.

## Live Weight Pricing Process

Packer pricing of fed cattle is a two-stage process. In the first stage, a head buyer determines a daily procurement policy or buy order. A buy order may remain constant for the entire day or may change during the day if market conditions change. The buy order is given to field level buyers. So in stage two, buyers execute the buy order as they purchase fed cattle from feedlots.

The first stage is similar to the general process of estimating a bid price. For example, assume a 1,250 pound animal that yields a 788 lb. carcass (dressing percentage of 63%). Assume the boxed beef price is \$125/dressed cwt.; byproducts value is \$8.50/live cwt.; slaughtering-fabricating cost is \$90/head; and the profit target is \$12/head. Then estimated revenue from boxed beef and byproducts is  $[(\$125)(7.88 \text{ cwt.}) + (\$8.50)(12.50 \text{ cwt.})]$  or \$1,091.25/head. From that, subtract slaughtering-fabricating costs (\$90/head) and the profit target (\$12/head). Then divide by the hundredweights of live cattle

(12.5 cwt.) to get an estimate of the bid price for fed cattle, \$79.14/cwt. The head buyer follows these steps in determining how much buyers can pay for fed cattle if all cattle in a pen are Choice quality grade, yield grade 1-3, with carcasses weighing 700-850 lbs., and no carcass defects.

Thus, the above process does not account for quality variation among cattle in the sale lot. Fed cattle bids need to be adjusted to consider quality variation. Table 1 shows the step-by-step process of developing a bid price for fed cattle on a live weight basis.

**Table 1. Live Weight Price Bid Example.**

For cattle weighing 1250 lbs.

**STEP 1: Compute Adjusted Boxed Beef Price**

“Projected” Boxed Beef Price (Ch 1-3, 700-850) \$125.00

Less Discounts:

% Select x \$ Discount	(50% x \$6)	-\$3.00
% YG4-5 x \$ Discount	(5% x \$15)	-\$0.75
% Light/Heavy x \$ Discount	(5% x \$20)	-\$1.00

Sum for Adjusted Boxed Beef Price \$120.25

**STEP 2: Convert Boxed Beef Price to Liveweight Price**

Adjusted Price x Dress % (\$120.25 x 63%) \$75.76

**STEP 3: Add Byproducts Value**

Step 2 + \$8.50/liveweight cwt. (\$75.76 + \$8.50) \$84.26

**STEP 4: Subtract Processing Costs and Profit Target**

\$90.00/Head Cost (Slaughtering-Fabricating)		
+ \$12.00/Head Profit Target		
= \$102.00/Head ÷ 12.5 cwt	(\$84.26 - \$8.16)	\$76.10

Bid Price \$76.10/cwt.

**Step 1:** Packers begin by estimating or projecting the boxed beef price, assumed here to be \$125/dressed cwt. They compute an adjusted boxed beef price which accounts for cattle quality differences from the base or standard type, assumed here to be Choice quality grade, yield grades 1-3, with 700-850 lb. carcasses. The cattle are estimated to be 50% Choice quality grade (50% Select); 95% yield grades 1-3 (5% YG4-5); and 95% of the carcasses weigh 700-850 lbs. (5% heavier or lighter than 700-850 lbs.). The Choice-Select price difference or price spread is \$6/cwt.; yield grade 1-3 and yield grade 4-5 price difference \$15/cwt.; and deviations above or below the 700-850 lbs. range, \$20/cwt.

This step is similar to but not as detailed here as with grid pricing. In essence there, a base price is adjusted by a set of premiums and discounts for known carcass characteristics of the cattle purchased after they are slaughtered.

**Step 2:** The dressed weight adjusted boxed beef price is converted to a live weight price by multiplying by the expected dressing percentage.

**Step 3:** Byproducts value, usually quoted on a live weight basis, is added to the adjusted price from Step 2.

**Step 4:** The final step is to subtract slaughtering-fabricating costs plus a profit target. The long-run average profit in meatpacking is a 1% return on sales, which in this case is about \$12/head.

Note the estimated bid price in Table 1 differs from the estimated price from the general process described just above the table. Since the pen of cattle for which the price was estimated did not consist of carcasses that were 100% Choice grade, 100% YG1-3, and 100% 700-850 lbs., the estimated price in Table 1 was lower than before. Quality attributes of the cattle caused the dressed value of the pen to be \$4.75/cwt. lower, or \$3/cwt. lower based on the live weight value.

With live weight pricing, packers typically pay transportation costs from the feedlot to the packer and subtract a standard 4% shrink from the feedlot weight of the cattle. Often feedlots offer the entire show list in a single transaction and a packer buyer may bid on the entire lot at the same price to save time and costs associated with cattle procurement, even though individual pen and animal values differ. Feedlots marketing a large number of cattle on a live weight basis have a similar incentive to market a large portion of the show list to a single buyer in one transaction.

Pricing cattle on a live weight basis appeals to some cattle feeders who want to maintain complete flexibility in cattle pricing until the transaction price is established. However, because meat quality and carcass dressing percentage are difficult to accurately predict on live animals, premiums and discounts paid on a live basis are generally not reflective of the true cattle value associated with the final product yield and quality. Therefore, higher quality cattle are often undervalued and lower quality cattle often overvalued when cattle are priced on a live weight basis (Schroeder and Graff).

**Dressed Weight Pricing Process**

The process for developing a bid price on a dressed weight basis is very similar to the process for developing a bid price on a live weight basis. Table 2 shows the step-by-step process with the same assumptions as in the live weight example.

**Table 2. Dressed Weight “in the Beef” Price Bid Example.**

For cattle weighing 1250 lbs.

**STEP 1: Compute Adjusted Boxed Beef Price**

“Projected” Boxed Beef Price (Ch 1-3, 700-850) \$125.00

Less Discounts:

% Select x \$ Discount	(50% x \$6)	-\$3.00
% YG4-5 x \$ Discount	(5% x \$15)	-\$0.75
% Light/Heavy x \$ Discount	(5% x \$20)	-\$1.00

Sum for Adjusted Boxed Beef Price \$120.25

**STEP 2: Add Byproducts Value (on a dressed weight basis)**

Step 1 + Byproducts value/liveweight cwt. + Dress %		
[\$120.25 + (\$8.50 ÷ .63)] = (\$120.25 + \$13.49)		\$133.74



## The Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service

### *Bringing the University to You!*

The Cooperative Extension Service is the largest, most successful informal educational organization in the world. It is a nationwide system funded and guided by a partnership of federal, state, and local governments that delivers information to help people help themselves through the land-grant university system.

Extension carries out programs in the broad categories of agriculture, natural resources and environment; family and consumer sciences; 4-H and other youth; and community resource development. Extension staff members live and work among the people they serve to help stimulate and educate Americans to plan ahead and cope with their problems.

Some characteristics of the Cooperative Extension system are:

- The federal, state, and local governments cooperatively share in its financial support and program direction.
- It is administered by the land-grant university as designated by the state legislature through an Extension director.
- Extension programs are nonpolitical, objective, and research-based information.
- It provides practical, problem-oriented education for people of all ages. It is designated to take the knowledge of the university to those persons who do not or cannot participate in the formal classroom instruction of the university.
- It utilizes research from university, government, and other sources to help people make their own decisions.
- More than a million volunteers help multiply the impact of the Extension professional staff.
- It dispenses no funds to the public.
- It is not a regulatory agency, but it does inform people of regulations and of their options in meeting them.
- Local programs are developed and carried out in full recognition of national problems and goals.
- The Extension staff educates people through personal contacts, meetings, demonstrations, and the mass media.
- Extension has the built-in flexibility to adjust its programs and subject matter to meet new needs. Activities shift from year to year as citizen groups and Extension workers close to the problems advise changes.

Oklahoma State University, in compliance with Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Executive Order 11246 as amended, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and other federal laws and regulations, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, disability, or status as a veteran in any of its policies, practices or procedures. This includes but is not limited to admissions, employment, financial aid, and educational services.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Sam E. Curl, Director of Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma. This publication is printed and issued by Oklahoma State University as authorized by the Dean of the Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources and has been prepared and distributed at a cost of 20 cents per copy. 0304